arXiv:1412.0522v1 [math.OA] 1 Dec 2014

BELL AND STEERING SCENARIOS IN TERMS OF OPERATOR SYSTEMS

MARCIN MARCINIAK, MICHAŁ HORODECKI, AND ZHI YIN

Abstract. The aim of this paper is to indicate possible applications of operator systems in qualitative description of varoius scenarios while studying non-locality. To this end we study in details the notion of generalized non-commuting cube. Following ideas of [5] and [3] we show in systematic way that various classes of Tsirelson's correlation boxes as well as NPA hierarchies can be described by using various operator system tensor products of generalized non-commuting cubes. Moreover, we show also that noncommuting cubes can be applied for the description of steering assemblages. Next we study some aproximation properties of noncommuting cubes by finite dimensional models. Finaly, we indicate possibility to use the framework operator systems for studying Bell and steering inequalities.

1. Operator systems and their tensor products

In this section we briefly recall some basic notion in the theory of operator spaces and their tensor products.

1.1. **The category of operator systems.** Let V be a complex vector space. We say that V is a **-vector space* if there is an antilinear involution $V \ni v \mapsto v^* \in V$. By V_h we denote the real space of all elements satisfying $v^* = v$. By an *ordered* **-vector space* we mean a pair (V, V^+) consisting of a *-vector space and a convex cone $V^+ \subset V_h$ such that $V^+ \cap (-V^+) = \{0\}$. Elements of V_h are called *hermitian elements* while elements of V^+ are called *positive elements*.

An element $e \in V_h$ is called an *order unit* if for any $v \in V_h$ there is a positive number r such that $re - v \in V^+$. An order unit e is called *Archimedean* if V^+ contains all elements $v \in V$ such that $re + v \in V^+$ for every $r > 0$.

We let $M_{n,m}(V)$ be the linear space of all matrices $(v_{ij})_{i=1,2,\ldots,n;\, j=1,2,\ldots,m}$ with entries in V. We will write $M_n(V)$ instead of $M_{n,n}(V)$. Let us notice that $M_n(V)$ can be equipped with the antilinear involution $M_n(V) \ni (v_{ij}) \mapsto (v_{ji}^*) \in M_n(V)$. Hence it has a structure of a *-vector space too.

Given $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\mathcal{P}_n \subset M_n(V)_h$ be a covex cone. We say that the sequence of cones $\{\mathcal{P}_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a *matrix ordering* on V if $(M_n(V), \mathcal{P}_n)$ is an ordered space for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $X^*\mathcal{P}_n X \subset \mathcal{P}_m$ for any $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $X \in M_{n,m}(\mathbb{C})$. The pair $(V, \{\mathcal{P}_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty})$ is called a *matrix ordered vector space*.

Date: December 2, 2014.
2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 46L06, 46L05, 47L25.
Key words and phrases. operator system, tensor product, corelation box.

An element $e \in V_h$ is called a *matrix order unit* for the matrix ordered space $(V, \{\mathcal{P}_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty})$ if

$$e_n := \begin{pmatrix} e & & & \\ & e & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & e \end{pmatrix} \tag{1}$$

is an order unit for $(V, \mathcal{P}_n)$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Respectively, it is called an *Archimedean matrix order unit* for $(V, \{\mathcal{P}_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty})$ if e_n is an Archimedean order unit for $(V, \mathcal{P}_n)$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

A triple $(V, \{\mathcal{P}_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}, e)$ is called an *operator system* if V is a complex *-vector space, $\{\mathcal{P}_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a matrix ordering on V and $e \in V_h$ is an Archimedean matrix order unit.

If V, W are vector spaces and $\phi : V \to W$ is a linear map, then for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we let $\phi(n) : M_n(V) \to M_n(W)$ denote a linear map given by $\phi^{(n)}((v_{ij})_{i,j=1,\ldots,n}) = (\phi(v_{ij}))_{i,j=1,\ldots,n}$. If $(V, \{\mathcal{P}_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty})$ and $(W, \{\mathcal{Q}_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty})$ are matrix ordered spaces, a map $\phi : V \to W$ is called *completely positive* if $\phi^{(n)}(\mathcal{P}_n) \subset \mathcal{Q}_n$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We call a linear map $\phi : V \to W$ a *complete order isomorphism* if ϕ is invertible and both ϕ and ϕ^{-1} are completely positive.

Let us consider the following example. Given a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ let $\mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H})$ denote the C^*-algebra of all bounded operators on $\mathcal{H}$. Let $S \subset \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be a linear subspace such that $S^* = S$ and $\mathbb{I} \in S$. It is easy to observe that S is a *-vector space with respect to the adjoint operation. If we let $S^+ = S \cap \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H})^+$ then (S, S^+) has a structure of an ordered space which has $\mathbb{I}$ (the identity operator) as an Archimedean unit. Moreover, $M_n(S) \subset M_n(\mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H})) \simeq \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H}^n)$, hence $M_n(S)$ inherits an involution and order structure from $\mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H}^n)$ and has the $n \times n$ diagonal matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{I} & & & \\ & \mathbb{I} & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & \mathbb{I} \end{pmatrix} \tag{2}$$

as an Archimedean order unit. Summing up, S has a structure of an operator system. We call it a *concrete operator system*.

The following theorem of Choi and Effros shows that each operator system is completely order isomorphic to some concrete operator system.

Theorem 1.1 (Choi-Effros)**.** *If $(V, \{\mathcal{P}_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}, e)$ is an operator system, then there exists a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$, a concrete operator system $S \subset \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H})$, and a complete order isomorphism $\phi : V \to S$ such that $\phi(e) = \mathbb{I}$.*

1.2. **Duality.** Given an operator system V we can consider its dual V^{d}. It has a natural matrix order structure. The involution in V^{d} is defined by $\phi^*(v) = \overline{\phi(v^*)}$ for $\phi \in V^{\mathrm{d}}$ while the matrix order structure described by saying that $(\phi_{ij}) \in M_k(V^{\mathrm{d}})$ is positive if and only if the map

$$V \ni v \mapsto (\phi_{ij}(v)) \in M_k(\mathbb{C}) \tag{3}$$

is completely positive. The crucial point for us is that for finite dimensional operator system V the matrix oredered space V^{d} is an operator system ([2]).

1.3. **Quotients.** If $\varphi : V \to W$ is a unital completely positive map between two operator systems and $J = \ker \varphi$, then V/J has a natural matrix order structure

$$(s_{ij} + J)_{i,j} \in M_k(V/J)^+ \text{ if and only if } (s_{ij})_{i,j} \in M_k(V)^+. \tag{4}$$

We will say that $J \subset V$ is a null-subspace if $J^* = J$ and J contains no positive elements other than zero. It was shown that if J is a null-subspace then V/J becomes an operator system with an order unit $e + J$ (see [10, Remark 1.2]).

1.4. **Tensor products.** Now, let $(S, \{P_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, e)$ and $(T, \{Q_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, f)$ be two operator systems. Let $S \otimes T$ denote the algebraic tensor product of linear spaces S and T. An *operator system structure on* $S \otimes T$ is a family $\tau = \{R_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ of cones, where $R_n \subset M_n(S \otimes T)$, satisfying:

(1) $(S \otimes T, \{R_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, e \otimes f)$ is an operator system denoted $S \otimes_\tau T$,
(2) $P_n \otimes Q_m \subset R_{nm}$ for $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$,
(3) If $\phi : S \to M_n(\mathbb{C})$ and $\psi : T \to M_m(\mathbb{C})$ are unital completely positive maps, then $\phi \otimes \psi : S \otimes_\tau T \to M_{nm}(\mathbb{C})$ is a unital completely positive map.

The following three tensor products were introduced in [3] :

- *minimal tensor product* $S \otimes_{\min} T$,
- *maximal tensor product* $S \otimes_{\max} T$,
- *commuting tensor product* $S \otimes_{\mathrm{c}} T$.

Suppose that $S_1 \subset T_1$ and $S_2 \subset T_2$ are inclusions of operator systems and $\iota_i : S_i \to T_i$ are inclusion maps for $i = 1, 2$. We write $S_1 \otimes_\tau S_2 \subset^+ T_1 \otimes_\sigma T_2$ when $\iota_1 \otimes \iota_2 : S_1 \otimes_\tau S_2 \to T_1 \otimes_\sigma T_2$ is a completely positive map. If, in addition, the map $\iota_1 \otimes \iota_2$ is a complete order isomorphism onto its range, then we write $S_1 \otimes_\tau S_2 \subset_{\mathrm{coi}} T_1 \otimes_\sigma T_2$.

1.5. **Coproduct of operator systems.** Let us recall the definition of unital free product of C^*-algebras. Assume that $A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_m$ are unital C^*-algebras. The unital free product $A_1 *_1 A_2 *_1 \ldots *_1 A_n$ is a unital C^*-algebra with injective unital *-homomorphisms $\iota_k : A_k \to A_1 *_1 A_2 *_1 \ldots *_1 A_n$, $k = 1, \ldots, m$, such that for any C^*-algebra B and any unital *-homorphisms $\rho_k : A_k \to B$ there is a unique unital *-homomorphism $\gamma : A_1 *_1 A_2 *_1 \ldots *_1 A_n \to B$ such that $\rho_k = \gamma \circ \iota_k$ for $k = 1, \ldots, m$.

Given an operator system V one defines its universal C^*-algebra $C_u^*(V)$. It has the following universality property: There is a unital complete order emebedding $\iota : V \to C_u^*(V)$ and for every unital C^*-algebra B and every unital completely positive map $\phi : V \to B$ there is a unique unital *-homomorphism $\pi : C_u^*(V) \to B$ such that $\phi = \pi \circ \iota$ ([12]).

Now, given operator systems $V_1, \ldots, V_m$ we can define their coproduct

$$\begin{aligned} &V_1 \oplus_1 \ldots \oplus_1 V_m = \\ &\quad = \quad \{v_1 + \ldots + v_m : v_k \in V_k,\, k = 1, \ldots, m\} \subset C_u^*(V_1) *_1 \ldots *_1 C_u^*(V_m). \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

Remark 1.2. When we combine universality properties of the free unital product of C^*-algebras and universal algebras we get the following functorial characterization of the coproduct: an operator system U is a coproduct of operator systems $V_1, \ldots, V_m$ if and only of there are unital completely order embeddings $\iota_k : V_k \to U$, $k = 1, \ldots, m$ and for every operator system R and every unital completely positive

maps $\phi_k : V_k \to R$ there is a unique unital completely positive map $\psi : U \to R$ such that $\phi_k = \psi \circ \iota_k$ ([5, 10]).

Using the similar line of argumentation as in [10] one can easily show the following generalization of [10, Proposition 4.7] (see also [5, Proposition 3.4]).

Proposition 1.3. *The operator system $V_1 \oplus_1 \ldots \oplus_1 V_m$ is unitally completely order isomorphic to $V_1 \oplus \ldots \oplus V_m/\mathcal{I}$ where*

$$\mathcal{I} = \left\{ (\alpha_1 e_1, \ldots, \alpha_m e_m) \in V_1 \oplus \ldots \oplus V_m : \alpha_k \in \mathbb{C}, \sum_{k=1}^{m} \alpha_k = 0 \right\}. \tag{6}$$

2. Generalized non-commuting cubes

The aim of this section is to provide careful and detailed analysis of generalized noncommutative cubes. In [3] following ideas of Tsirelson the notion of *noncommuting m-cube* was introduced. It is defined as an $(m+1)$-dimensional subspace of the universal C^*-algebra $C^*(h_1, \ldots, h_m)$ for n noncommuting elements $h_1, \ldots, h_n$ such that $h_j^* = h_j$ and $\|h_j\| \leq 1$ for $j = 1, \ldots, m$ (i.e. h_j are selfadjoint contractions). The noncommuting m-cube $NC(m)$ is defined as the subspace

$$NC(m) = \mathrm{span}\{\mathbb{I}, h_1, \ldots, h_m\} \subset C^*(h_1, \ldots, h_m) \tag{7}$$

The notion of generalized non-commuting cubes appeared in [15]. The idea is closely related to the observation made by Tobias Fritz in [5]. He noticed that there is a possibility to relate various correlation boxes with some suitable tensor products of group C^*-algebras while studying non-locality. The details will be given in Section 4.

Here, we will provide a more explicit construction of generalized noncommutative cubes than that of [15]. We do this by combining C^*-algebraic construction of Fritz with operator system approach. We further use it to derive the relation between generalized noncommutative cubes and correlations boxes, generalizing in this way relation between non-commutative cubes and correlation boxes obtained in [3]. The purpose of this is to indicate some possible applications to study some approximation properties as well as violations of Bell and steering inequality.

Let us recall that if G is a discrete group and $C^*(G)$ is its full C^*-algebra then G embeds into $C^*(G)$. Now, consider the concrete example of G. Let $\mathbb{Z}_n$ be the cyclic with the generator s of rank n. Its group C^*-algebra is isomorphic to l_n^∞. The latter is nothing but the vector space of n-tuples $(z_0, z_1, \ldots, z_{n-1})$ of complex numbers with pointwise multiplication and usual involution. Let us describe the isomorphism more precisely. Let $\omega = \exp(2\pi i/n)$. Consider elements $(p_a)_{a=0,1,\ldots,n-1} \in C^*(\mathbb{Z}_n)$ given by the formula

$$p_a = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \omega^{ak} s^k. \tag{8}$$

One can easily show that p_a are orthogonal projections

$$\sum_{a=0}^{n-1} p_a = \mathbb{I} \tag{9}$$

and

$$s = \sum_{a=0}^{n-1} \omega^{-a} p_a. \tag{10}$$

i.e. p_a are spectral projections of s. Let $e_0, e_1, \ldots, e_{n-1}$ be the standard basis in l_n^∞. The linear map $C^*(\mathbb{Z}_n) \to l_n^\infty$ given by $p_a \mapsto e_a$, $a = 0, 1, \ldots, n-1$ describes the promised isomorphism.

By $\mathbb{Z}_n^{*m}$ we denote the free product of m copies of $\mathbb{Z}_n$. For $x = 1, \ldots, m$ let s_x be the generator of x-th copy of $\mathbb{Z}_n$ in the free product $\mathbb{Z}_n^{*m}$. They can be regarded as elements in the group C^*-algebra $C^*(\mathbb{Z}_n^{*m})$. Define the following subspace of this algebra.

$$U_{m,n} = \mathrm{span}(\{\mathbb{I}\} \cup \{s_x^k : x = 1, \ldots, m,\ k = 1, \ldots, n-1\}). \tag{11}$$

As $U_{m,n}$ is a selfadjoint subspace of $C^*(\mathbb{Z}_n^{*m})$ containing the unit it is an operator system.

Remark 2.1. By [3, Proposition 5.5] the operator system $NC(m)$ is completely order isomorphic to the system $U_{m,2}$. Thus $U_{m,n}$ can be considered as a generalization of noncommuting cube.

Remark 2.2. Remind that for any discrete groups $G_1, \ldots, G_m$ the group C^*-algebra of the free product $G_1 * \ldots G_m$ is nothing but the unital free products of group C^*-algebras, i.e.

$$C^*(G_1 * \ldots * G_m) \cong C^*(G_1) *_1 \ldots *_1 C^*(G_m). \tag{12}$$

When we apply it for $G_k = \mathbb{Z}_n$, we get

$$C^*(\mathbb{Z}_n^{*m}) \cong C^*(\mathbb{Z}_n) *_1 \ldots *_1 C^*(\mathbb{Z}_n). \tag{13}$$

Now, it follows from the construction of $U_{m,n}$ that it can be considered as a coproduct

$$U_{m,n} = C^*(\mathbb{Z}_n) \oplus_1 \ldots \oplus_1 C^*(\mathbb{Z}_n) \cong l_n^\infty \oplus_1 \ldots \oplus_1 l_n^\infty. \tag{14}$$

As in (8) for every $x = 1, \ldots, m$ and $a = 0, 1, \ldots, n-1$ we define projections

$$p_{ax} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \omega^{ak} s_x^k. \tag{15}$$

Hence $U_{m,n}$ is nothing but the span of all projections of the above form.

Proposition 2.3. *Let $t = \sum_{a,x} z_{ax} p_{ax} \in U_{m,n}$ for some complex coefficients z_{ax}. Then $t = 0$ if and only if there are complex complex numbers u_x, $x = 1, \ldots, m$, such that $\sum_{x=1}^m u_x = 0$ and $z_{ax} = u_x$ for any pair of indices a, x.*

Proof. Using (15) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} t &= \sum_{a=0}^{n-1} \sum_{x=1}^{m} z_{ax} \cdot \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \omega^{ak} s_x^k = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{a=0}^{n-1} \sum_{x=1}^{m} z_{ax} \left(\mathbb{I} + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \omega^{ak} s_x^k \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \left[\left(\sum_{a=0}^{n-1} \sum_{x=1}^{m} z_{ax} \right) \mathbb{I} + \sum_{x=1}^{m} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \left(\sum_{a=0}^{n-1} \omega^{ak} z_{ax} \right) s_x^k \right] \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

Since s_x, $x = 1, \ldots, m$, are free generators of free product $\mathbb{Z}_n^{*m}$ the system $\{\mathbb{I}\} \cup \{s_x^k : x = 1, \ldots, m,\ k = 1, \ldots, n-1\}$ is a linear basis in $U_{m,n}$. Thus $t = 0$ implies

$$\sum_{a=0}^{n-1} \sum_{x=1}^{m} z_{ax} = 0 \tag{17}$$

and

$$\sum_{a=0}^{n-1} \omega^{ak} z_{ax} = 0 \tag{18}$$

for every $x = 1, \ldots, m$ and $k = 1, \ldots, n-1$. Let us fix some x and loot at (18) as a homogeneous system of $n-1$ linear equations (indexed by $k = 1, \ldots, n-1$) with n variables z_{ax} (indexed by $a = 0, 1, \ldots, n-1$). Firstly, observe that if z_{ax}, $a = 0, 1, \ldots, n-1$ are equal to each other, say $z_{ax} = u_x$ for $a = 0, 1, \ldots, n-1$ where u_x is some complex number, then they satisfy the equations (18). Secondly, the coefficient matrix of the system has the form

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & \omega & \omega^2 & \cdots & \omega^{n-2} & \omega^{n-1} \\ 1 & \omega^2 & \omega^4 & \cdots & \omega^{2n-4} & \omega^{2n-2} \\ 1 & \omega^3 & \omega^6 & \cdots & \omega^{3n-6} & \omega^{3n-3} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & \omega^{n-1} & \omega^{2n-2} & \ldots & \omega^{(n-1)(n-2)} & \omega^{(n-1)^2} \end{bmatrix} \tag{19}$$

Notice that the matrix obtained by removing the last column is a $(n-1) \times (n-1)$ dimensional Vandermonde matrix and its determinant is equal to

$$\prod_{1 \leq k < l \leq n-1} (\omega^k - \omega^l). \tag{20}$$

Since the last number is non-zero we conclude from Rouché-Capelli theorem that for the fixed x the set of solutions of (18) is a 1-dimensional subspace of l_n^∞. Thus, the described above solutions $z_{ax} = u_x$, $a = 0, 1, \ldots, n-1$, are the only solutions of the system (18) for the fixed x.

Now, assume that z_{ax} are such that $z_{ax} = u_x$, where u_x, $x = 1, \ldots, m$ are some complex numbers. It follows from (17) that $\sum_{x=1}^{m} u_x = 0$ and the proof is finished. □

A universality property of $U_{m,n}$ for quantum measurements is a consequence of the fact mentioned in Remark 2.2.

Proposition 2.4. *Let H be a Hilbert space. Assume that $(E_x^a)_{x=1,\ldots,m}^{a=0,\ldots,n-1}$ is a family of positive operators acting on H such that $\sum_{a=0}^{n-1} E_x^a = \mathbb{I}$ for any $x = 1, \ldots, m$. Then there is a unique unital completely positive map $\varphi : U_{m,n} \to B(H)$ such that $\varphi(p_{ax}) = E_x^a$ for every pair x, a.*

Proof. Given $x = 1, \ldots, m$ let $\varphi_x : C^*(\mathbb{Z}_n) \to B(H)$ be a linear map such that $\phi(p_a) = E_x^a$ for $a = 0, 1, \ldots, n-1$. Obviously it is positive and unital. Complete positivity of φ_x follows from the fact that $C^*(\mathbb{Z}_n)$ is a commutative C^*-algebra. Now, from universality property of the coproduct (see Remark 1.2) it follows that there is the unique unital completely positive map $\varphi : U_{m,n} \to B(H)$ which extends each φ_x. □

Our next goal is to describe the operator system dual $U_{m,n}^{\mathrm{d}}$.

Elements of l_{mn}^{∞} will be described in the matrix-style as sequences with double indices: (z_{ax}), $a = 0, 1 \ldots, n-1$, $x = 1, \ldots, m$. Assume that a linear subspace $J \subset l_{mn}^{\infty}$ is composed of elements (z_{ax}) satisfying the condition described in the preceeding proposition, i.e.

$$(z_{ax}) \in J \;\; \Leftrightarrow \;\; \exists\, u_1, \ldots, u_m \in \mathbb{C} : \sum_{x=1}^{m} u_x = 0 \;\text{ and }\; \forall\, a, x : z_{ax} = u_x \tag{21}$$

Observe that J is a null-subspace of the operator system l_{mn}^{∞}. Then l_{mn}^{∞}/J has the natural structure of operator system (see Section 1.3).

Proposition 2.5. *The operator system $U_{m,n}$ is unitally completely isomorphic to l_{mn}^{∞}/J.*

Proof. It is an immediate consequence of Proposition 1.3 (c.f. Remark 2.2). □

Corollary 2.6. *An element $t = \sum_{a,x} z_{ax} p_{ax}$ is positive if and only if there is a sequence $(w_{ax}) \in J$ such that $z_{ax} + w_{ax} \geq 0$ for any pair a, x.*

Define

$$V_{mn} = \left\{ (z_{ax})_{a,x} \in l_{mn}^{\infty} : \sum_{a=0}^{n-1} z_{ax} \text{ does not depend on } x \right\} \tag{22}$$

The matrix order structure on $V_{m,n}$ is inherited from the described above order structure on l_{mn}^{∞}. Namely

$$M_k(V_{m,n})^{+} = \{(A_{ax})_{a,x} : A_{ax} \geq 0 \text{ and } \textstyle\sum_a A_{ax} \text{ does not depend on } x\} \tag{23}$$

Now we are ready to formulate a generalization of [3, Proposition 5.11]

Proposition 2.7. *The operator system dual $U_{m,n}^{\mathrm{d}}$ is completely order isomorphic to $V_{m,n}$.*

Proof. The proof is the same as in [3]. Let $\gamma : l_{mn}^{\infty} \to U_{m,n}$ be defined by

$$\gamma((z_{ax})) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{a,x} z_{ax} p_{ax}. \tag{24}$$

It follows from Remark 2.2 and Proposition 1.3 that γ is a complete quotient map. Thus according to [4, Proposition 1.8] the adjoint map $\gamma^{\mathrm{d}} : U_{m,n}^{\mathrm{d}} \to (l_{mn}^{\infty})^{\mathrm{d}} = l_{mn}^{\infty}$ is a complete order inclusion. The map γ^{d} is given by $\gamma^{d}(f) = (f(p_{ax}))_{a,x}$ for $f \in U_{m,n}^{\mathrm{d}}$ and its image is equal to $V_{m,n}$. This completes the proof. □

3. Tensor products of $U_{m,n}$

Let us remind that for any operator systems V and W and for any tensor product τ we have the following identities

$$M_k(V \otimes W) = M_k(V) \otimes W \tag{25}$$

and

$$M_k(V \otimes_{\tau} W)^{+} = (M_k(V) \otimes_{\tau} W)^{+}. \tag{26}$$

Moreover, we have

Lemma 3.1. *For any operator system V we have*

$$M_k(V)^+ = (M_k(\mathbb{C}) \otimes_{\min} V)^+. \tag{27}$$

Proof. We may assume that $V \subset B(H)$ is a concrete operator system. Then the embedding $M_k(V) \subset M_k(B(H))$ defines the cone $M_k(V)^+$ of positive elements in $M_k(V)$. The statement of the proposition follows from the identification $M_k(B(H)) = M_k(\mathbb{C}) \otimes B(H)$. □

Now, let us fix an operator system V. Our aim is to characterize positive elements in $M_k(U_{m,n} \otimes_\tau U_{m,n})$ for various tensor products τ.

Firstly, that any $t \in M_k(V \otimes U_{m,n})$ can written in the form $t = \sum_{a,x} v_{ax} \otimes p_{ax}$ for some matrices $v_{ax} \in M_k(V)$. The matrices are not unique.

Proposition 3.2. *Let $v_{ax} \in M_k(V)$. Then $\sum_{a,x} v_{ax} \otimes p_{ax} = 0$ if and only if there are elements $v_x^0 \in M_k(V)$ such that $v_{ax} = v_x^0$ for any x and $\sum_x v_x^0 = 0$.*

Proof. Similar to Proposition 2.3. □

Lemma 3.3. *Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $t = \sum_{a,x} v_{ax} \otimes p_{ax}$. If $t \in M_k(V \otimes_{\min} U_{m,n})^+$ then $\sum_{a,x} z_{ax} v_{ax} \in M_k(V)^+$ for any $(z_{ax}) \in V_{m,n}^+$.*

Proof. It follows from the definition of the min tensor product that t is positive if and only if $\phi \otimes \psi(t)$ is positive for any unital completely positive maps $\phi : V \to M_q(\mathbb{C})$ and $\psi : U_{m,n} \to M_r(\mathbb{C})$. Let $r = 1$ and $\psi \in V_{m,n}^+$ be a functional defined as $\psi(p_{ax}) = z_{ax}$ for any a, x, where $\psi = (z_{ax})$. Observe that $\phi \otimes \psi(t) = \phi\left(\sum_{a,x} z_{ax} v_{ax}\right)$. Since ϕ is arbitrary, the positivity of t is equivalent to positivity of the sum $\sum_{a,x} z_{ax} v_{ax}$ for any $(z_{ax}) \in V_{m,n}^+$. □

As a consequence we get the following

Proposition 3.4. *Let $t = \sum_{a=1}^{m_1} \sum_{b=1}^{m_2} \sum_{x=1}^{n_1} \sum_{y=1}^{m_2} t_{abxy} p_{ax} \otimes p_{by} \in U_{m_1,n_1} \otimes U_{m_2,n_2}$. If $t \in (U_{m_1,n_1} \otimes_{\min} U_{m_2,n_2})^+$ then*

$$\sum_{a,b,x,y} z_{ax} w_{by} t_{abxy} \geq 0 \tag{28}$$

for every $(z_{ax}) \in V_{m_1,n_1}^+$ and $(w_{by}) \in V_{m_2,n_2}^+$.

Proof. Let $v_{by} = \sum_{a,x} t_{abxy} p_{ax}$ for aby b and y. By Lemma 3.3 t is positive in minimal tensor product if and only if $\sum_{b,y} w_{by} v_{by} \in U_{m_1,n_1}^+$ for any $(w_{by}) \in V_{m_2,n_2}^+$. Observe that $\sum_{b,y} w_{by} v_{by} = \sum_{a,x} \left(\sum_{b,y} w_{by} t_{abxy}\right) p_{ax}$. Hence, if t is positive in minimal tensor product, $(w_{by}) \in V_{m_2,n_2}^+$, and $(z_{ax}) \in V_{m_1,n_1}^+$, then $\sum_{a,b,x,y} z_{ax} w_{by} t_{abxy} = \sum_{a,x} z_{ax} \left(\sum_{b,y} w_{by} t_{abxy}\right) \geq 0$. □

Let us notice, that the converse implication in the above proposition does not hold. It is a motivation to formulate the following

Definition 3.5. Let V and W be ordered linear spaces. We say that a functional $\phi \in (V \otimes W)^{\mathrm{d}}$ is a *separable positive functional* if $\varrho = \sum_{i=1}^m \varphi_i \otimes \psi_i$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_n \in V^+$ and $\psi_1, \ldots, \psi_n \in W$. The cone of all separable positive functional

will be denoted by $(V^{\mathrm{d}})^+ \otimes (W^{\mathrm{d}})^+$. We say that an element $t \in V \otimes W$ is *block-positive*, if $\varrho(t) \geq 0$ for every $\varrho \in (V^{\mathrm{d}})^+ \otimes (W^{\mathrm{d}})^+$. The cone of all block-positive elements in $V \otimes W$ will be denoted by $V \otimes_{\mathrm{bp}} W$.

4. Bipartite correlation boxes via operator systems $U_{m,n}$

In order to study non-locality we consider the following scenario. Assume that there are two spatially separated and non communicating parties usually called Alice and Bob. They choose among m different observables labeled by $x = 1, \ldots, m$ for Alice and $y = 1, \ldots, m$ for Bob. After measurment they emit some outcomes. Possible outcomes for Alice are labeled by $a = 0, 1, \ldots, n-1$ and for Bob by $b = 0, 1, \ldots, n-1$. Now, for any $x = 1, \ldots, m$ and $a = 0, \ldots, n-1$ we consider the probability $P(a|x)$ that Alice produces outcome a provided that she was influenced by the input signal x. Analogously we define $P(b|y)$ for Bob where $y = 1, \ldots, m$ and $b = 0, \ldots, n-1$. Finally, if $x, y = 1, \ldots, m$ and $a, b = 0, 1, \ldots, n-1$ let $P(ab|xy)$ be the probability that Alice and Bob produced the pair of outcomes a and b provided that they got inputs x and y respectively. The system $(P(ab|xy))_{a,b=0,1,\ldots,n-1,\ x,y=1,\ldots,m}$ will be called a correlation box. The notion of correlation box was defined by Tsirelson [18, 19] in order to study Bell inequalities in quantum setting.

In this section we restate the Fritz's ([5]) characterization of various correlation boxes by different tensor products. We do it in terms of operator system tensor products of noncommuting cubes. This is the direct generalization of [3, Section 7].

We consider the following classes of boxes

Definition 4.1. Given a box $(P(ab|xy))$ we say that it

(1) is *non-signalling* if

$$\sum_{a=0}^{n-1} P(ab|xy) = P(b|y) \text{ for any } x = 1, \ldots, m, \tag{29}$$

$$\sum_{b=0}^{n-1} P(ab|xy) = P(a|x) \text{ for any } y = 1, \ldots, m. \tag{30}$$

(2) has *local hidden variable (LHV)* if there are two families $P_\lambda(a|x)$ and $Q_\lambda(b|y)$ of probability distributions (it means $P_\lambda(a|x) \geq 0$ and $\sum_a P_\lambda(a|x) = 1$, $x = 1, \ldots, m$, and similarly for $Q_\lambda(b|y)$) and positive numbers r_λ with $\sum_\lambda r_\lambda = 1$ such that

$$P(ab|xy) = \sum_\lambda P_\lambda(a|x) Q_\lambda(b|y). \tag{31}$$

(3) is *quantum* if there are
   (a) two Hilbert spaces H_A and H_B,
   (b) two sets of positive operators $(E_x^a)_{x,a}$ and $(F_y^b)_{y,b}$ acting on H_A and H_B respectively with the property

$$\sum_a E_x^a = \mathbb{I}_A \text{ for any } x = 1, \ldots, m,$$

$$\sum_b F_y^b = \mathbb{I}_B \text{ for any } y = 1, \ldots, m,$$

(c) a density matrix ϱ on $H_A \otimes H_B$

such that

$$P(ab|xy) = \mathrm{Tr}(\varrho(E_x^a \otimes F_y^b))$$

for any $x, y = 1, \ldots, m$ and $a, b = 0, 1, \ldots, n-1$.

(4) is *contextual* if there are

(a) a Hilbert space H,

(b) two sets of positive operators $(E_x^a)_{x,a}$ and $(F_y^b)_{y,b}$ acting on H with the property

$$\sum_a E_x^a = \mathbb{I} \text{ for any } x = 1, \ldots, m,$$

$$\sum_b F_y^b = \mathbb{I} \text{ for any } y = 1, \ldots, m,$$

and

$$E_x^a F_y^b = F_y^b E_x^a \text{ for any } x, y, a, b$$

(c) a density matrix ϱ on H

such that

$$P(ab|xy) = \mathrm{Tr}(\varrho E_x^a F_y^b)$$

for any $x, y = 1, \ldots, m$ and $a, b = 0, 1, \ldots, n-1$.

Let $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ be fixed. We let $\mathcal{L}$ denote the class of LHV boxes, $\mathcal{Q}$ – the class of quantum boxes, $\mathcal{C}$ – the class of contextual boxes and $\mathcal{P}$ – the class of non-signalling boxes. Then it is known that $\mathcal{L} \subsetneq \mathcal{Q} \subset \mathcal{C} \subsetneq \mathcal{P}$. In [3, Theorem 7.1] it was show that if $m = n = 2$ then various classes of correlation boxes can be characterized by means of different tensor products of non-commuting cubes. Now, having "generalized cubes" $U_{m,n}$ (see Remark 2.1) we can formulate the theorem for arbitrary numbers inputs and outputs.

For an operator system V let $S(V)$ denote its *state space*, i.e.

$$S(V) = \{\phi \in V^{\mathrm{d}} : \phi(V^+) \subset [0, \infty),\ \phi(e) = 1\}. \tag{32}$$

Theorem 4.2. *We have the following equalities*

(1) $\mathcal{P} = \{(\phi(p_{ax} \otimes p_{by})) : \phi \in S(U_{m,n} \otimes_{\max} U_{m,n})\}$
(2) $\mathcal{C} = \{(\phi(p_{ax} \otimes p_{by})) : \phi \in S(U_{m,n} \otimes_{\mathrm{c}} U_{m,n})\}$
(3) $\mathcal{Q} = \{(\phi(p_{ax} \otimes p_{by})) : \phi \in S(U_{m,n} \otimes_{\min} U_{m,n})\}$

Proof. The idea of the proof is basically the same as in [3].

(1) Let $\mathcal{P}' = \{\phi(p_{ax} \otimes p_{by}) : \phi \in S(U_{m,n} \otimes_{\max} U_{m,n})\}$. Then obviously $\mathcal{P}' \subset \mathcal{P}$. To see the converse inclusion notice that any correlation box $P = (P(ab|xy))$ is an element of $(l_{mn}^\infty \otimes_{\min} l_{mn}^\infty)^+$. This is the consequence of positivity of numbers $P(ab|xy)$. Moreover, let us observe that if $P \in \mathcal{P}$ then due to conditions (29) and (30) $P \in V_{m,n} \otimes V_{m,n}$ (c.f. (22)). Hence $P \in (V_{m,n} \otimes_{\min} V_{m,n})^+$. Minimal and maximal tensor products are dual to each other. Thus it follows from Proposition 2.7 that $(P(ab|xy)) \in (V_{m,n} \otimes_{\min} V_{m,n})^+$ if and only if $P(ab|xy) = \phi(p_{ax} \otimes p_{by})$ for some positive functional $\phi : U_{m,n} \otimes_{\max} U_{m,n} \to \mathbb{C}$. From (29) and (30) we get normalization $\phi(\mathbb{I} \otimes \mathbb{I}) = 1$. Hence $\mathcal{P} \in \mathcal{P}'$

(2) As previously, let $\mathcal{C}' = \{\phi(p_{ax} \otimes p_{by}) : \phi \in S(U_{m,n} \otimes_{\mathrm{c}} U_{m,n})\}$. Assume that $P \in \mathcal{C}$. Then

$$P(ab|xy) = \mathrm{Tr}(\varrho E_x^a F_y^b) \tag{33}$$

for some E_x^a and F_y^b acting on a Hilbert space H such that $E_x^a \geq 0$, $F_y^b \geq 0$, $\sum_a E_x^a = \mathbb{I}_A$, $\sum_b F_y^b = \mathbb{I}_B$ and $E_x^a F_y^b = F_y^b E_x^a$. Hence the linear maps $\alpha, \beta : U_{m,n} \to B(H)$ defined by $\alpha(p_{ax}) = E_x^a$ for any pair x, a and $\beta(p_{by}) = F_y^b$ for any pair y, b are unital completely positive and have commuting ranges. It follows from the definition of commuting tensor product ([11, Section 6]) that the map $\alpha \cdot \beta : U_{m,n} \otimes_{\mathrm{c}} U_{m,n} \to B(H)$ defined by $(\alpha \cdot \beta)(u \otimes w) = \alpha(u)\beta(w)$, $u, w \in U_{m,m}$, is a completely positive map. Thus the linear functional $\phi : U_{m,n} \otimes_{\mathrm{c}} U_{m,n} \to \mathbb{C}$ by $\phi(t) = \mathrm{Tr}(\varrho(\alpha \cdot \beta)(t))$ turns out to be a state on $U_{m,n} \otimes_{\mathrm{c}} U_{m,n}$ such that $P(ab|xy) = \phi(E_x^a \otimes F_y^b)$. Hence $P \in \mathcal{C}'$ and therefore $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{C}'$.

Conversely, assume $P \in \mathcal{C}'$, hence $P(ab|xy) = \phi(E_x^a \otimes F_y^b)$ for some state ϕ on $U_{m,n} \otimes_{\mathrm{c}} U_{m,n}$. By [11, Corollary 6.5] there is a Hilbert space H, two *-homomorphism $\pi_A, \pi_B : C^*(\mathbb{Z}_n^{*m}) \to B(K)$ with commuting ranges and a unit vector $\xi \in K$ such that $\phi(u \otimes w) = \langle \xi, \pi_A(u)\pi_B(w)\xi \rangle$ for any $u, w \in U_{m,n}$. Now, define $E_x^a = \pi_A(p_{ax})$, $F_y^b = \pi_B(p_{by})$ and $\varrho = |\xi\rangle\langle\xi|$. Then $P(ab|xy) = \mathrm{Tr}(\varrho E_x^a F_y^b)$ and consequently $P \in \mathcal{C}$. Hence $\mathcal{C}' \subset \mathcal{C}$.

(3) Let $\mathcal{Q}' = \{\phi(p_{ax} \otimes p_{by}) : \phi \in S(U_{m,n} \otimes_{\min} U_{m,n})\}$. Assume that $P \in \mathcal{Q}$. Then

$$P(ab|xy) = \mathrm{Tr}(\varrho(E_x^a \otimes F_y^b)) \tag{34}$$

for some E_x^a and F_y^b acting on H_A and H_B respectively such that $E_x^a \geq 0$, $F_y^b \geq 0$, $\sum_a E_x^a = \mathbb{I}_A$ and $\sum_b F_y^b = \mathbb{I}_B$. Due to the conditions one can consider maps $\alpha : U_{m,n} \to B(H_A)$ and $\beta : U_{m,n} \to B(H_B)$ defined by $\alpha(p_{ax}) = E_x^a$ for any pair x, a and $\beta(p_{by}) = F_y^b$ for any pair y, b. The maps α and β are unital completely positive. Then it follows from [11, Theorems 4.4 and 4.6] that the map

$$\alpha \otimes \beta : U_{m,n} \otimes_{\min} U_{m,n} \to B(H_A \otimes H_B) \tag{35}$$

is again unital and completely positive. Hence the linear functional

$$\phi : U_{m,n} \otimes_{\min} U_{m,n} \to \mathbb{C} \tag{36}$$

defined by $\phi(t) = \mathrm{Tr}(\varrho(\alpha \otimes \beta)(t))$ is a state on $U_{m,n} \otimes_{\min} U_{m,n}$ and $P(ab|xy) = \phi(p_{ax} \otimes p_{by})$. Therefore $\mathcal{Q} \subset \mathcal{Q}'$.

Now, assume $P \in \mathcal{Q}'$. Thus $P(ab|xy) = \phi(p_{ax} \otimes p_{by})$ for some state ϕ on $U_{m,n} \otimes_{\min} U_{m,n}$. Let H be a Hilbert space such that $C^*(\mathbb{Z}_n^{*m}) \subset B(H)$. Then $C^*(\mathbb{Z}_n^{*m}) \otimes_{\min} C^*(\mathbb{Z}_n^{*m}) \subset B(H \otimes H)$ and ϕ can be extended to a state $\tilde{\phi}$ on $C^*(\mathbb{Z}_n^{*m}) \otimes_{\min} C^*(\mathbb{Z}_n^{*m})$. Due separability of this algebra we may assume $\tilde{\phi}$ is the restriction of a normal state on $B(H \otimes H)$ to $C^*(\mathbb{Z}_n^{*m}) \otimes_{\min} C^*(\mathbb{Z}_n^{*m})$. Therefore, there exists a positive and trace class operator ϱ on $H \otimes H$ such that $\phi(t) = \mathrm{Tr}(\varrho t)$ for $t \in U_{m,n} \otimes_{\min} U_{m,n}$. Now, let us define $H_A = H_B = H$. If $\iota : U_{m,n} \to C^*(\mathbb{Z}_n^{*m})$ is the canonical embedding then let $E_x^a = \iota(p_{ax})$ and $F_y^b = \iota(p_{by})$. Thus $P(ab|xy) = \mathrm{Tr}(\varrho(E_x^a \otimes F_y^b))$, and hence $\mathcal{Q}' \subset \mathcal{Q}$. □

5. A nutshell of NPA hierarchy

5.1. **Quantum behaviors.** NPA hierarchy is an infinite hierarchy of conditions necessarily satisfied by any set of quantum correlations [14].

Definition 5.1. The behavior P is a quantum behavior if there exists a pure (normalized) state $|\psi\rangle$ in a Hilbert space H, a set of measurement operators $\{E_a : a \in \widetilde{A}\}$ for Alice, and a set of measurement operators $\{E_b : b \in \widetilde{B}\}$ for Bob such that for all $a \in \widetilde{A}$ and $b \in \widetilde{B}$

$$\begin{aligned} P(a) &= \langle\psi|E_a|\psi\rangle, \\ P(b) &= \langle\psi|E_b|\psi\rangle, \\ P(a,b) &= \langle\psi|E_aE_b|\psi\rangle, \end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

with the measurement operators satisfying

(1) $E_a^\dagger = E_a$ and $E_b^\dagger = E_b$,
(2) $E_aE_{a'} = \delta_{aa'}E_a$ if $X(a) = X(a')$ and $E_bE_{b'} = \delta_{bb'}E_b$ if $Y(b) = Y(b')$,
(3) $[E_a,\ E_b] = 0$.

5.2. **Sets of operators and sequences.** Let $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}$ denote the set of projectors of Definition 5.1 plus the identity, i.e. $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}} = \mathbb{1} \cup \{E_a : a \in \widetilde{A}\} \cup \{E_b : b \in \widetilde{B}\}$.

Let $\mathcal{O} = \{O_1, \cdots, O_n\}$ be a set of n operators, where each O_i is a linear combination of products of projectors in $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}$. Define $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{O})$ as the set of all independent equalities of the form

$$\sum_{i,j}(F_k)_{i,j}\langle\psi|O_i^\dagger O_j|\psi\rangle = g_k(P) \quad k = 1, \cdots, m \tag{38}$$

which are satisfied by the operators O_i, where the coefficients $g_k(P)$ are linear functions of the probabilities $P(a,b)$:

$$g_k(P) = (g_k)_0 + \sum_{a,b}(g_k)_{ab}P(a,b). \tag{39}$$

Let a sequence S be a product of projectors in $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}$. The length $|S|$ of a sequence is the minimum number of projectors needed to generate it. We define S_n to be the set of sequences of length smaller than or equal to n (excluding null sequences).

$$\begin{aligned} S_0 &= \{\mathbb{1}\} \\ S_1 &= S_0 \cup \{E_a : a \in \widetilde{A}\} \cup \{E_b : b \in \widetilde{B}\} \\ S_2 &= S_0 \cup S_1 \cup \{E_aE_{a'} : a, a' \in \widetilde{A}\} \cup \{E_bE_{b'} : b, b' \in \widetilde{B}\} \cup \{E_aE_b : a \in \widetilde{A}, b \in \widetilde{B}\} \\ S_3 &= \cdots \end{aligned} \tag{40}$$

Any operator $O_i \in \mathcal{O}$ can be written as a linear combination of operators in S_n for n sufficiently large.

5.3. **A hierarchy of necessary and sufficient conditions.** A certificate Γ^n associated to the set of operators S_n is a real positive semi-definite matrix with entries $\{\Gamma^n_{s,t} : |s|, |t| \leq n, \}$ that satisfies the linear equalities

$$\Gamma^n_{1,1} = 1,\ \Gamma^n_{1,a} = P(a),\ \Gamma^n_{1,b} = P(b),\ \Gamma^n_{a,b} = P(a,b) \tag{41}$$

for all $a \in \widetilde{A}$ and $b \in \widetilde{B}$, and

$$\Gamma^n_{s,t} = \Gamma^n_{u,v} = P(a), \ \ if \ \ S^\dagger T = U^\dagger V \tag{42}$$

for all $|s|, |t|, |u|, |v| \leq n$. Where the index s associated with the sequence operators S.

From Proposition 4 of [14], we have $\Gamma^n_{s,t} = \langle\psi|S^\dagger T|\psi\rangle$ if P is a quantum behavior. On the other hand, by the Theorem 8 of [14], for a behavior P, the existence of certificate Γ^n for all $n \geq 1$ is sufficient to deduce that P is a quantum behavior.

5.4. **NPA hierarchy vs noncommutative cube.** To unify such two categories we assume Alice (resp. Bob) will choose $X = 1, \cdots, m$ (resp. $Y = 1, \cdots, m$) inputs and each input will have $a = 0, \cdots, n-1$ (resp. $b = 0, \cdots, n-1$) outputs.

Theorem 5.2. *Following two statements are equivalent:*

(1) *P is a behavior such that there exists a certificate Γ^n of order n for all $n \geq 1$.*
(2) *There exists $\varphi \in S(U_{m,n} \otimes_c U_{m,n})$, such that $P = \{(\varphi(P_{aX} \otimes P_{bY}))\}$.*

This theorem of course follows from the result of [14] just mentioned in previous section, and our theorem 4.2. However here we will give a direct proof which has its independently interest. Before we give the proof, we need the following easy observation:

Lemma 5.3. (i) *The set $\bigcup_n S_n$ is isomorphism to the group $\mathbb{Z}_n^{*m} \times \mathbb{Z}_n^{*m}$, i.e. every sequence $S \in \bigcup_n S_n$ is one to one correspond to a reduced ward $s \in \mathbb{Z}_n^{*m} \times \mathbb{Z}_n^{*m}$.*
(ii) *If $\mathcal{A}$ is the *-algebra generated by $\bigcup_n S_n$, then $\mathcal{A}$ is *-isomorphism to the group algebra $\mathbb{C}(\mathbb{Z}_n^{*m} \times \mathbb{Z}_n^{*m})$.*

Proof. (i). Let s_X denote the generator of the X-th copy. Define the map $\pi : \bigcup_n S_n \mapsto \mathbb{Z}_n^{*m} \times \mathbb{Z}_n^{*m}$ as:

$$\begin{aligned}
\pi(E_a) &= (s_X^{a+1}, \mathbb{1}), \ \ a \in X(a), \\
\pi(E_b) &= (\mathbb{1}, s_Y^b), \ \ b \in Y(b), \\
\pi(E_a E_{a'}) &= (s_X^{a+1} s_{X'}^{a'+1}, \mathbb{1}), \ \ a \in X(a), a' \in X'(a'), \\
\pi(E_b E_{b'}) &= (\mathbb{1}, s_Y^{b+1} s_{Y'}^{b'+1}), \ \ b \in Y(b), b' \in Y'(b'), \\
\pi(E_a E_b) &= (s_X^{a+1}, s_Y^{b+1}), \ \ a \in X(a), b \in Y(b), \\
&\cdots
\end{aligned} \tag{43}$$

It is easy to check that π is a bijection.

(ii). Define the map $\tau : \mathcal{A} \mapsto \mathbb{C}(\mathbb{Z}_n^{*m} \times \mathbb{Z}_n^{*m})$ as:

$$\begin{aligned}
\tau(E_a) &= \Big(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=0}^{n-1}\omega^{ja}\delta^j_{s_X}, \delta_{\mathbb{1}}\Big), \quad a \in X(a), \\
\tau(E_b) &= \Big(\delta_{\mathbb{1}}, \frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=0}^{n-1}\omega^{jb}\delta^j_{s_Y}\Big), \quad b \in Y(b), \\
\tau(E_aE_{a'}) &= \Big(\frac{1}{n^2}\sum_{j,j'=0}^{n-1}\omega^{ja+j'a'}\delta^j_{s_X}\delta^{j'}_{s_{X'}}, \delta_{\mathbb{1}}\Big), \quad a \in X(a), a' \in X'(a'), \\
\tau(E_bE_{b'}) &= \Big(\delta_{\mathbb{1}}, \frac{1}{n^2}\sum_{j,j'=0}^{n-1}\omega^{jb+j'b'}\delta^j_{s_Y}\delta^{j'}_{s_{Y'}}\Big), \quad b \in Y(b), b' \in Y'(b'), \\
\tau(E_aE_b) &= \Big(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=0}^{n-1}\omega^{ja}\delta^j_{s_X}, \frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=0}^{n-1}\omega^{jb}\delta^j_{s_Y}\Big), \quad a \in X(a), b \in Y(b), \\
&\cdots
\end{aligned} \tag{44}$$

where the $\{(\delta_{s_X}, \delta_{\mathbb{1}}), (\delta_{\mathbb{1}}, \delta_{s_Y}), (\delta_{s_X}, \delta_{s_Y}) : X = 1, \ldots, m, Y = 1, \ldots, m\}$ is the basis of $\mathbb{C}(\mathbb{Z}_n^{*m} \times \mathbb{Z}_n^{*m})$. It is clear that τ is a *-isomorphism. □

Remark 5.4. By (ii) of this lemma, every operator $O_i \in \mathcal{O}$ is an element of $\mathbb{C}(\mathbb{Z}_n^{*m} \times \mathbb{Z}_n^{*m})$.

Proof of Theorem 5.2. In this proof, we denote group $\mathbb{Z}_n^{*m} \times \mathbb{Z}_n^{*m}$ by G. We first prove (i)⇒(ii). As we know from the proof of Theorem 8 in [14], there exists a semi-positive matrix $\Gamma^\infty = (\Gamma^\infty_{s,t})$, where index s, t associate with the sequence $S, T \in \bigcup_n S_n$. By the previous Lemma. There also exists a semi-positive matrix, we still denote as $\Gamma = (\Gamma^\infty_{s,t}), s, t \in G$. Because of its positivity, there exists an infinite family of vectors $\{|s\rangle \in \ell_2(G), s \in G\}$ such that $\Gamma_{s,t} = \langle s|t\rangle$. Now let $\lambda : G \mapsto B(\ell_2(G))$ be the left regular unitary representation of G. Then we can get an induced *-algebra representation $\pi : \mathbb{G} \mapsto B(\ell_2(G))$ [6],

$$\pi\left(\sum_g x_g\delta_g\right) = \sum_g x_g\lambda(g), x_g \in \mathbb{C}. \tag{45}$$

Now define a functional $\varphi : \mathbb{C}(G) \mapsto \mathbb{C}$ as following:

$$\varphi(x) = \langle \mathbb{1}|\pi(x)\mathbb{1}\rangle. \tag{46}$$

Since $|\varphi(x)| \leq \|\pi(x)\|_{B(\ell_2(G))} \leq \|x\|_{C^*(G)}$ for any $x \in \mathbb{C}(G)$, thus by Hahn-Banach theorem, φ can extend to a functional on $C^*(G)$ with norm less or equal one (actually the norm of φ is one). The positivity and $\varphi(P_{aX} \otimes P_{bY}) = P(a, b), a \in X(a), b \in Y(b)$ is clear. Now we already get a state φ on $C^*(G)$ such that $P = (\varphi(P_{aX} \otimes P_{bY}))$. Since $C^*(G) = C^*(*_m\mathbb{Z}_n) \otimes_{\max} C^*(*_m\mathbb{Z}_n)$ and $U_{m,n} \otimes_c U_{m,n} \subseteq_{coi} C^*(*_m\mathbb{Z}_n) \otimes_{\max} C^*(*_m\mathbb{Z}_n)$, we complete the proof.

$(ii) \Rightarrow (i)$. Suppose we have a state φ on $U_{m,n} \otimes_c U_{m,n}$, i.e. on $C^*(G)$. Then by the GNS construction, there exist a Hilbert space H, a cyclic vector $\xi \in H$ and a *-representation $\pi : C^*(G) \mapsto B(H)$, such that

$$\varphi(x) = \langle \xi|\pi(x)\xi\rangle, \quad x \in C^*(G). \tag{47}$$

By the remark 5.4, we have $O_i \in \mathbb{C}(G)$, thus we can define a matrix $\Gamma_{i,j}$ as following:

$$\Gamma_{i,j} = \langle \xi | \pi(O_i^\dagger O_j) \xi \rangle. \tag{48}$$

To prove it is a certificate associated to the set of operator S_n is similar to the proof of Proposition 4 in [14]. □

Remark 5.5. It was mentioned by Fritz in [6, Remark 3.5] that NPA hierarchies can be described by states on some suitable tensor products for group C*-algebras. Theorem 5.2 basically restates this remark but in the context of operator systems.

6. Approximation of $U_{2,2} \otimes U_{2,2}$

The Hilbert space and projectors that can reproduce any quantum box is quite huge, in particular, nonseparable. There is a question whether in some cases we can have finite-dimensional Hilbert space which will do the job, or at least, whether one can approximate the set of quantum boxes by boxes coming from finite-dimensional Hilbert spaces. Here we shall provide elementary construction of such approximation in the case of $n = m = 2$. In such case, all needed projectors can be constructed out of two, which we will choose in such a way, that the principal angles will be placed uniformly on a quarter of circle. We shall use result of Masanes [13] who showed that for $m = n = 2$ any point of $\mathcal{Q}$ can be realized on Hilbert space $\mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^2$, i.e. for any box $p(ab|xy) \in \mathcal{Q}$ there exist state $\psi \in \mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^2$ and projectors P^A_{ax}, P^B_{by} with $\sum_a P^A_{ax} = I_A$ and $\sum_b P^B_{by} = I_B$ such that

$$p(ab|xy) = \langle \psi | P^A_{ax} \otimes P^B_{by} | \psi \rangle. \tag{49}$$

We now want to construct set of projectors on a larger Hilbert space, that would universally work for all boxes (the dimension would depend on the needed accuracy of approximation). To this end we consider Hilbert space

$$H = H_A \otimes H_B, \quad H_A = \bigoplus_{k=1}^{N} H^A_k, \quad H_B = \bigoplus_{k=1}^{N} H^B_k \tag{50}$$

with $H^A_k \simeq H^B_l \simeq \mathbb{C}^2$. Now, Alice and Bob will have the same projectors given by

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{P}_{0,0} &= \oplus_{k=1}^{N} |0\rangle\langle 0|, \quad \tilde{P}_{1,0} = \mathbb{1} - \tilde{P}_{0,0}, \\ \tilde{P}_{0,1} &= \oplus_{k=1}^{N} |\psi_k\rangle\langle \psi_k|, \quad \tilde{P}_{1,1} = \mathbb{1} - \tilde{P}_{0,1} \end{aligned} \tag{51}$$

where

$$\psi_k = \cos\alpha_k |0\rangle + \sin\alpha_k |1\rangle \tag{52}$$

with $\alpha_k = \frac{k\pi}{2N}$. Thus $\tilde{P}_{0,0}$ and $\tilde{P}_{1,0}$ are chosen in such a way, that the principal angles (which are α_k in this case) fill uniformly the quarter of circle.

Let us define projectors acting on $\mathbb{C}^2$

$$\begin{aligned} P^{Ak}_{0,0} &= P^{Bk}_{0,0} = |0\rangle\langle 0|, \quad P^{Ak}_{1,0} = P^{Bk}_{1,0} = |1\rangle\langle 1| \\ P^{Ak}_{0,1} &= P^{Bk}_{0,1} = |\phi_k\rangle\langle \phi_k|, \quad P^{Ak}_{1,1} = P^{Bk}_{1,1} = \mathbb{1} - |\phi_k\rangle\langle \phi_k|. \end{aligned} \tag{53}$$

Now, for any state ρ define p_ρ as

$$p_\rho(ab|xy) = \mathrm{Tr}(\rho \tilde{P}_{ax} \otimes \tilde{P}_{by}). \tag{54}$$

Further let us consider the following norm between two boxes by

$$||p - p'||_1 = \sum_{a,b,x,y} |p(ab|xy) - p'(ab|xy)|. \tag{55}$$

We now prove the following proposition, showing, that our projectors (51) together with arbitrary state, can be used to approximate arbitrary quantum box:

Proposition 6.1. *For arbitrary $\epsilon > 0$ and a box p with $m = n = 2$ from $\mathcal{Q}$ we can find N and a state ρ acting on the Hilbert space* (50) *such that*

$$||p - p_\rho|| \leq \epsilon \tag{56}$$

Proof. Since $p \in \mathcal{Q}$, and $n = m = 2$ we can apply Masanes construction so that p is given by (49). Applying suitable unitary $U_A \otimes U_B$ to the state and projectors in (49) we obtain

$$p(ab|xy) = \langle \psi' | Q^A_{ax} \otimes Q^B_{by} | \psi' \rangle \tag{57}$$

where $\psi' = U_A \otimes \mathcal{U}_B \psi$ and

$$\begin{aligned} &Q^A_{00} = Q^B_{00} = |0\rangle\langle 0|, \quad Q^A_{01} = |\psi\rangle\langle\psi|, \quad Q^B_{01} = |\phi\rangle\langle\phi| \\ &Q^A_{10} = Q^B_{10} = |1\rangle\langle 1|, \quad Q^A_{01} = |\psi^\perp\rangle\langle\psi^\perp|, \quad Q^B_{01} = |\phi^\perp\rangle\langle\phi^\perp| \end{aligned} \tag{58}$$

with

$$\psi = \cos\alpha|0\rangle + \sin\alpha|1\rangle, \quad \phi = \cos\beta|0\rangle + \sin\beta|1\rangle \tag{59}$$

with $\alpha, \beta \in [0, \pi/2]$. We now find k_0 and l_0 such that

$$\langle \psi_{k_0} | \psi \rangle \leq \cos\frac{\pi}{4N}, \quad \langle \psi_{l_0} | \phi \rangle \leq \cos\frac{\pi}{4N} \tag{60}$$

where ψ_k are given by (52). We then treat the two qubit state $|\psi_{k_0}\rangle|\psi_{l_0}\rangle$ as acting on Hilbert space $H^A_{k_0} \otimes H^B_{l_0}$, and let ψ_{k_0,l_0} to be the above state embedded into the total Hilbert space H_{AB} of (50). We then have for $\rho = |\psi_{k_0,l_0}\rangle\langle\psi_{k_0,l_0}|$

$$p_\rho(ab|xy) = \langle \psi_{k_0,l_0} | \tilde{P}_{ax} \otimes \tilde{P}_{by} | \psi_{k_0,l_0} \rangle = \langle \psi_{k_0,l_0} | P^{Ak_0}_{ax} \otimes P^{Bl_0}_{by} | \psi_{k_0,l_0} \rangle. \tag{61}$$

One then finds that

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{a,b,x,y} |p_\rho(ab|xy) - p(ab|xy)| \\ &= \sum_{a,b,x,y} |\langle \psi_{k_0,l_0} | p^{Ak_0}_{ax} \otimes p^{Bl_0}_{by} | \psi_{k_0,l_0} \rangle - \langle \psi' | Q^A_{ax} \otimes Q^B_{by} | \psi' \rangle| \\ &\leq 8\sqrt{1 - \langle \psi_{k_0} | \psi' \rangle} + 8\sqrt{1 - \langle \psi_{l_0} | \psi' \rangle} \leq 16 \sin^2\left(\frac{\pi}{4}\right) \leq \frac{\pi^2}{N^2} \end{aligned} \tag{62}$$

where we used (60), and ψ_i are given by (52). Thus taking $N \geq \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{\epsilon}}$, we obtain $\sum_{a,b,x,y} |p_\rho(ab|xy) - p(ab|xy)|$ which ends the proof. □

The construction seem not be possible if there are more than two inputs on both sides. Namely, it is quite likely, that the value of so called I_{2233} Bell's inequality does not attains maxima on any finite Hilbert space [21]. If true that would imply, that there exist correlation boxes that cannot be represented on finite dimensional Hilbert space. Let us also mention, that [20] it is proved that if Tsirelson problem has positive solution for some m, n, then for any quantum box, there is finite-dimensional approximation of the box, where the projectors depend on the box.

This allows to build ϵ-approximation of any box with fixed projectors, that do not depend on the box in an analogous way as above, if only Tsirelson problem has positive answer. For $n = m = 2$ we know more - namely any box is exactly reproduced by use of two qubits, hence the construction above is so simple.

7. Steering vs operator systems $U_{m,n}$

Now, assume that Alice can choose between m measurement settings, each of which can result in one of n outcomes. Suppose Bob has d-dimensional quantum system. Following [16] we define an assemblage as a set $(\sigma(a|x))_{x=1,\dots,m,\, a=0,\dots,n-1}$ such that $\sigma(a|x) \in M_d(\mathbb{C})^+$ for any pair x, a, the sum $\sum_a \sigma(a|x)$ does not depend on x and $\mathrm{Tr}\left(\sum_a \sigma(a|x)\right) = 1$ for every x.

Definition 7.1. We will say that an assemblage $(\sigma(a|x))$

(1) has *local hidden state (LHS)* when
$$\sigma(a|x) = \sum_\lambda p_\lambda(a|x)\sigma_\lambda \tag{63}$$
for some positive numbers r_λ with $\sum_\lambda r_\lambda = 1$, a family $P_\lambda(a|x)$ of probability distributions (i.e. $p_\lambda(a|x) \geq 0$ and $\sum_a P_\lambda(a|x) = 1$ for each λ) and a family of positive matrices $\sigma_\lambda \in M_d(\mathbb{C})^+$ such that $\mathrm{Tr}\sigma_\lambda = 1$.

(2) is *quantum* if
$$\sigma(a|x) = \mathrm{Tr}_A(\varrho(E_x^a \otimes \mathbb{1}_B)) \tag{64}$$
where E_x^a are some positive operators acting on a Hilbert space H_A such that $\sum_a E_x^a = \mathbb{I}_A$ for every x, and ϱ is a density matrix on $H_A \otimes \mathbb{C}^d$.

Let natural numbers m, n, d be fixed. We let $\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{s}}$ denote the class of all assemblages, $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathrm{s}}$ – the class of all quantum assemblages and $\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{s}}$ – the class of LHS assemblages. Obviously $\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{s}} \subset \mathcal{Q}_{\mathrm{s}} \subset \mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{s}}$. It was noticed by Schrödinger that $\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{s}} = \mathcal{Q}_{\mathrm{s}}$ (see [7] for a discussion on that topic).

For an operator system V and a Hilbert space H let $CP(V, H)$ denote the set of all completely postive maps $\alpha : V \to S_1(H)$ such that $\mathrm{Tr}\,\alpha(\mathbb{I}) = 1$.

If $\xi \in \mathbb{C}^d$ is a unit vector then it can considered as an element of $M_{1,d}(\mathbb{C})$. So for any $v \in V^+$ we have that $\xi^* v \xi \in M_d(V)^+$. But $\xi^* v \xi$ is nothing but $|\xi\rangle\langle\xi| \otimes v$. Let $M_d(\mathbb{C})^+ \otimes V^+$ denote the subcone of $M_d(V)^+$ generated by all elements of that form. We will refere to elements of $M_d(\mathbb{C})^+ \otimes V^+$ as separable elements.

Theorem 7.2. *We have the following equality*
$$\mathcal{Q}_{\mathrm{s}} = \{(\alpha(p_{ax})) : \alpha \in CP(U_{m,n}, \mathbb{C}^d)\}, \tag{65}$$

Proof. Let $\mathcal{Q}'_{\mathrm{s}} = \{(\alpha(p_{ax})) : \alpha \in CP(U_{m,n}, \mathbb{C}^d)\}$. Assume $\sigma \in \mathcal{Q}_{\mathrm{s}}$. So
$$\sigma(x|a) = \mathrm{Tr}_A(\varrho(E_x^a \otimes \mathbb{1}_d)), \tag{66}$$
where E_x^a act on a Hilbert space H_A, $E_x^a \geq 0$, $\sum_a E_x^a = \mathbb{1}_A$ and ϱ is a density matrix on $H_A \otimes \mathbb{C}^d$. Let $\varphi : U_{m,n} \to B(H_A)$ be a unital completely positive map such that $\varphi(p_{ax}) = E_x^a$. Now, let $\alpha : U_{m,n} \to M_d(\mathbb{C})$ be defined by
$$\alpha(s) = \mathrm{Tr}_A\left(\varrho^{\frac{1}{2}}(\varphi(s) \otimes \mathbb{1}_d)\rho^{\frac{1}{2}}\right), \qquad s \in U_{m,n}. \tag{67}$$
Clearly, α is completely positive and $\sigma(a|x) = \alpha(p_{ax})$ for every a and x. Therefore, $\sigma \in \mathcal{Q}'_{\mathrm{s}}$.

Conversely, assume that $\sigma \in \mathcal{Q}'_{\rm s}$, i.e. $\sigma(a|x) = \alpha(p_{ax})$ for some completely positive $\alpha : U_{m,n} \to M_d(\mathbb{C})$. Let H be a Hilbert space such that $C^*(Z_n^{*m}) \subset B(H)$. Then, by Arveson extension theorem ([1]) α can be extended to a completely positive map $\tilde{\alpha} : B(H) \to M_d(\mathbb{C})$. It follow from Lemma 2.1 in [17] that

$$\phi(a \otimes b) = \mathrm{Tr}(\tilde{\alpha}(a)b^{\mathrm{t}}), \qquad a \in B(H),\, b \in M_d(\mathbb{C}) \tag{68}$$

defines some positive normal functional on $B(H) \otimes M_d(\mathbb{C})$. Hence, there is a density matrix ρ acting on $H \otimes \mathbb{C}^d$ such that $\phi(t) = \mathrm{Tr}(\rho t)$ for $t \in B(H) \otimes M_d(\mathbb{C})$. Now, one can show that

$$\tilde{\alpha}(a) = \mathrm{Tr}_H(\rho(a \otimes \mathbb{1}_d)), \qquad a \in B(H). \tag{69}$$

Let $\iota : U_{m,n} \to C^*(\mathbb{Z}_n^{*m})$ be the canonical embedding, and let $E_x^a = \iota(p_{ax})$. Then we have

$$\sigma(a|x) = \alpha(p_{ax}) = \tilde{\alpha}(p_{ax}) = \mathrm{Tr}_H(\rho(E_x^a \otimes \mathbb{1}_d)). \tag{70}$$

Hence, $\sigma \in \mathcal{Q}_{\rm s}$.

□

Let $(V_{m,n} \otimes M_d(\mathbb{C}))_1 = \{(A_{xa}) : \sum_a \mathrm{Tr}(A_{ax}) = 1 \text{ for any } x\}$. Then we have

Corollary 7.3. *We have the following equality*

$$\mathcal{Q}_s = (V_{m,n} \otimes_{\max} M_d(\mathbb{C}))^+ \cap (V_{m,n} \otimes M_d(\mathbb{C}))_1 \tag{71}$$

8. Bell and steering inequalities in operator systems framework

One of the main tasks while studying nonlocality is to quantify the difference between the set $\mathcal{L}$ and $\mathcal{Q}$. Having the characterization of $\mathcal{Q}$ given in section 4 one can ask whether is possible to find useful tools for this in the framework of operator systems.

Let V be a real linear space and let $e \in V$ be a distinguished nonzero element. Moreover, let $C \subset V^{\mathrm{d}}$ be a cone so that $\phi(e) > 0$ for every $\phi \in C$. Now, for any $v \in V$ let us define the number $N_C(v)$ by the formula

$$N_C(v) = \sup\left\{\frac{\phi(v)}{\phi(e)} : \phi \in C\right\}. \tag{72}$$

Then we have

Proposition 8.1. *Let $v, w \in V$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. Then*

(i) $N_C(\alpha e) = \alpha$,
(ii) $N_C(\alpha v) = \alpha N_C(v)$,
(iii) $N_C(v + w) \leq N_C(v) + N_C(w)$.

Proof. Direct calculations. □

Next, consider two cones $C_1 \subset V^d$ and $C_2 \subset V^d$. We would like to apply the above notion to compare sizes of this two cones. Firstly, let us formulate rather obvious

Lemma 8.2. *We have the inclusion $C_1 \subset C_2$ if and only if $N_{C_1}(v) \leq N_{C_2}(v)$ for every $v \in V$. Moreover, if $N_{C_1}(v) < N_{C_2}(v)$ for some $v \in V$, then the inclusion is proper.*

Now, let us propose the following definition

Definition 8.3. Let $C_1 \subset C_2 \subset V^d$. We say that an element $v \in V$ *violates* C_1 *with* C_2 if $0 < N_{C_1}(v) < N_{C_2}(v)$. The number

$$LV_{C_1 \subset C_2}(v) = \frac{N_{C_1}(v)}{N_{C_2}(v)} \tag{73}$$

will be called *the largest violation of* v.

Now, let us pass to the main example. Let $V = U_{m,n} \otimes U_{m,n}$ and $e = \mathbb{1}$. Let us observe, that Definition 4.1(2) leads to the following observation

Proposition 8.4. *The set LHV correlation boxes is equal to the set of normalized functionals from* $V^+_{m,n} \otimes V^+_{m,n}$.

Thus, let $C_1 = V^+_{m,n} \otimes V^+_{m,n}$ and $C_2 = (V_{m,n} \otimes_{\max} V_{m,n})^+$. Obviously, $C_1 \subset C_2$. Following [8, 9] we formulate the following

Definition 8.5. A *Bell inequality* (or *Bell functional*) is a set of numbers $t = \{t_{abxy} : a, b = 0, 1, \ldots, m-1,\ x, y = 1, 2, \ldots, n\}$. For such t and every correlation box $(p(ab|xy))$ one can define

$$\langle t, p\rangle = \sum_{a,b,x,y} t_{abxy} p(ab|xy). \tag{74}$$

Let us observe that every Bell inequality $t = \{t_{abxy}\}$ determines some element $\sum_{a,b,x,y} t_{abxy} p_{ax} \otimes p_{by} \in U_{m,n} \otimes U_{m,n}$ which will be denoted also by t. Now we can formulate the following

Definition 8.6. *The largest violation* $LV(t)$ of a Bell inequality $t \in U_{m,n} \otimes U_{m,n}$ is defined as

$$LV(t) = LV_{V^+_{m,n} \otimes V^+_{m,n} \subset (V_{m,n} \otimes_{\max} V_{m,n})^+}(t). \tag{75}$$

Remark 8.7. The numbers $N_{V^+_{m,n} \otimes V^+_{m,n}}(t)$ and $N_{(V_{m,n} \otimes_{\max} V_{m,n})^+}(t)$ can be interpreted respectively as classical bound and quantum bound of t (see [9]).

The same can be done for steering scenario (see [16, 22]). Namely, we can state the following

Definition 8.8. A *steering inequality* (or *steering functional*) is a set of matrices $F = \{F_{ax} \in M_d(\mathbb{C}) : a = 0, 1, \ldots, m-1,\ x = 1, 2, \ldots, n\}$. For such F and every assemblage $(\sigma(a|x))$ one can define

$$\langle F, \sigma\rangle = \sum_{a,x} \mathrm{Tr}(F_{ax}\sigma(a|x)). \tag{76}$$

As a steering functional F can be viewed as element $\sum_{a,x} p_{ax} \otimes F_{ax} \in U_{m,n} \otimes M_d(\mathbb{C}))$, the largest violation of a steering inequality can be defined as

$$LV(F) = LV_{V^+_{m,n} \otimes M_d(\mathbb{C})^+ \subset (V_{m,n} \otimes_{\max} M_d(\mathbb{C}))^+}(F). \tag{77}$$

Example 8.9. Let $n = m = 2$. The space $V_{2,2} \otimes V_{2,2}$ can be identified with the space BS of 4×4 matrices $(a_{ij})_{i,j=1,2,3,4}$ such that $a_{i1} + a_{i2} = a_{i3} + a_{i4}$ for every i, and $a_{1j} + a_{2j} = a_{3j} + a_{4j}$ for every j. Furthermore, entries of each matrix (a_{ij}) from $V^+_{2,2} \otimes V^+_{2,2}$ have the form $a_{ij} = \sum_\lambda q_\lambda b_i^\lambda c_j^\lambda$ for $i, j = 1, 2, 3, 4$, where b_i and c_j are numbers such that $b_1^\lambda + b_2^\lambda = b_3^\lambda + b_4^\lambda$ and $c_1^\lambda + c_2^\lambda = c_3^\lambda + c_4^\lambda$, and $q_\lambda \leq 0$ with $\sum_\lambda q_\lambda = 1$. Let t be a Bell inequality. It can be represented as 4×4 matrix

$(t_{ij})_{i,j=1,2,3,4}$. Now, let us estimate the classical bound. For a normalized element $a = (a_{ij}) \in V_{2,2}^+ \otimes V_{2,2}^+$ we have:

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle t, a\rangle| &= \Big|\sum_\lambda q_\lambda \sum_{i,j=1}^4 b_i^\lambda c_j^\lambda t_{ij}\Big| \leq \sum_\lambda q_\lambda \Big|\sum_{i,j} b_i^\lambda t_{ij} c_j^\lambda\Big| \\ &= \sum_\lambda q_\lambda \big|\langle b^\lambda | t | c^\lambda\rangle\big| \leq \sum_\lambda q_\lambda \|b^\lambda\|_2 \|t\|_\infty \|c^\lambda\|_2 \leq 4\|t\|_\infty, \end{aligned} \tag{78}$$

where $\|\cdot\|_2$ denotes usual euclidean norm, while $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ is the operator norm. The last inequality follows from the fact that $\|b^\lambda\|_2 \leq \|b^\lambda\|_1 = \sum_i b_i^\lambda = 2$, and similarly for c^λ.

Now, let us choose a Bell inequality $t = (t_{ij})$, where $t_{ij} = 1$ for every $i, j = 1, 2, 3, 4$. For this Bell inequality we can improve the above estimation. Namely, one can easily show that $N_{V_{2,2}^+ \otimes V_{2,2}^+}(t) = 4$. On the other hand, we use the characterization of positive elements in $(V_{2,2} \otimes_{\max} V_{2,2})^+$ given in [3, Proposition 6.8]. It says that for each such element (a_{ij}) there is $p \in \mathbb{N}$ and matrices $A_i, B_j \in M_p(\mathbb{C})$ such that $a_{ij} = \mathrm{Tr}(A_i B_j)$ for $i, j = 1, 2, 3, 4$. Let $p = 2$ and let us consider the following vectors from $\mathbb{C}^2$:

$$e_1 = (1, 0), \quad e_2 = (0, 1), \quad f_1 = \left(\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}, \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\right), \quad f_2 = \left(-\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}, \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\right). \tag{79}$$

Define now

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 = A_3 = \frac{1}{2}|e_1\rangle\langle e_1|, \quad A_2 = A_4 = \frac{1}{2}|e_2\rangle\langle e_2|, \\ B_1 = B_3 = \frac{1}{2}|f_1\rangle\langle f_1|, \quad B_2 = B_4 = \frac{1}{2}|f_2\rangle\langle f_2|. \end{aligned} \tag{80}$$

Then the determined element $(a_{ij}) \in (V_{2,2} \otimes_{\max} V_{2,2})^+$ is of the form $a_{ij} = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{8}$ for every $i, j = 1, 2, 3, 4$. Thus

$$\langle t, a\rangle = \sum_{i,j} t_{ij} a_{ij} = 16 \cdot \frac{\sqrt{2}}{8} = 4\sqrt{2}. \tag{81}$$

Therefore,

$$N_{(V_{2,2} \otimes_{\max} V_{2,2})^+}(t) \geq 4\sqrt{2}, \tag{82}$$

and consequently

$$LV(t) \geq \sqrt{2}. \tag{83}$$

Acknowledgemenets M.H. thanks Robert Alicki for discussion, while M.M. and Y.Z. thank Vern Paulsen for valuable remarks on tensor products of operator systems. The work is supported by Foundation for Polish Science TEAM project co-financed by the EU European Regional Development Fund, Polish Ministry of Science and Higher Education Grant no. IdP2011 000361, ERC AdG grant QOLAPS and EC grant RAQUEL. Part of this work was done in National Quantum Information Center of Gdańsk. Part of this work was done when the authors attended the program Mathematical Challenges in Quantum Information at the Isaac Newton Institute for Mathematical Sciences, University of Cambridge.

References

[1] W. Arveson, Subalgebras of C *-algebras, Acta Math. 123 (1969), 141–224.
[2] M.-D. Choi and E.G. Effros, Injectivity and operator spaces, J. Funct. Anal. 24 (1977), 156–209.
[3] D. Farenick, A.S. Kavruk, V.I. Paulsen and I.G. Todorov, Operator systems from discrete groups, Comm. Math. Phys. 329 (2014), 207–238.
[4] D. Farenick and V.I. Paulsen, Operator system quotients of matrix algebras and their tensor products, Math. Scand. 111 (2012), 210–243.
[5] T. Fritz, Operator system structures on the unital direct sum of C^*-algebras, Rocky Mountain J. Math. 44(3) (2014), 913–936.
[6] T. Fritz, Tsirelson's Problem and Kirchberg's Conjecture, Rev. Math. Phys. 24(5) (2012), 1250012.
[7] L.P. Hughston, R. Jozsa and W.K. Wootters, A complete classification of quantum ensembles having a given density matrix, Phys. Lett. A 183 (1993), 14–18.
[8] M. Junge, C. Palazuelos, D. Pérez-García, I. Villanueva, M. M. Wolf. Unbounded violations of bipartite Bell inequalities via operator space theory. *Comm. Math. Phys.* 300, (2010) 715-739.
[9] M. Junge and C. Palazuelos. Large violation of Bell inequalities with low entanglement. *Comm. Math. Phys.* 306, (2011) 695-746.
[10] A.S. Kavruk, The weak expectation property and Riesz interpolation, preprint available at arXiv:1201.5414.
[11] A. Kavruk, V.I. Paulsen, I.G. Todorov and M. Tomforde, Tensor products of operator systems, J. Funct. Anal. 261 (2011), 267–299.
[12] E. Kirchberg and S. Wasserman, C^*-algebras generated by operator systems, J. Funct. Anal. 155 (1998), 324–352.
[13] L. Masanes, Extremal quantum correlations for N parties with two dichotomic observables per site, arXiv:quant-ph/0512100
[14] M. Navascués, S. Pironio and A. Acín, *A convergent hierarchy of semidefinite programs characterizing the set of quantum correlations,* New J. Phys. **10** (2008) 073013.
[15] V. I. Paulsen and I. G. Todorov, Quantum chromatic numbers via operator systems, preprint, arXiv:1311.6850, 2013.
[16] M.F. Pusey, Negativity and steering: a stronger Peres conjecture, preprint available at arXiv:1305.1767.
[17] E. Störmer, Extensions of positive maps into $B(H)$, J. Funct. Anal. 66 (1986), 235–254.
[18] B.S. Tsirelson, Quantum generalizations of Bell's inequality, Lett. Math. Phys. 4 (1980), 93–100.
[19] B.S. Tsirelson, Some results and problems on quantum Bell-type inequalities, Hadronic J. Suppl. 8 (1993), 329–345.
[20] V. B. Scholz, R. F. Werner, Tsirelson's Problem, arXiv:0812.4305
[21] T. Vidick and S. Wehner Phys. Rev. A 83, (2011) 052310; arXiv:1011.5206.
[22] Z. Yin, M. Marciniak and M. Horodecki, Operator space approach to steering inequality, arXiv:1405.1945

Institute of Theoretical Physics and Astrophysics, Gdańsk University, Wita Stwosza 57, 80-952 Gdańsk, Poland
E-mail address: matmm@ug.edu.pl

Institute of Theoretical Physics and Astrophysics, Gdańsk University, Wita Stwosza 57, 80-952 Gdańsk, Poland
E-mail address: fizmh@ug.edu.pl

School of Mathematics and Statistics, Wuhan University, Wuhan 430072, China; Institute of Theoretical Physics and Astrophysics, Gdańsk University, Wita Stwosza 57, 80-952 Gdansk, Poland
E-mail address: hustyinzhi@163.com